



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,758	10/04/2004	Toshiharu Furukawa	BUR920040090US1	5757

44152 7590 04/28/2008  
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.  
1950 ROLAND CLARK DRIVE  
RESTON, VA 20191

EXAMINER
----------

DAHIMENE, MAHMOUD

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1792

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

04/28/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com  
pto@gbpatent.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/711,758	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FURUKAWA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MAHMOUD DAHIMENE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1792	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MAHMOUD DAHIMENE.

(3) Andrew Rite.

(2) Binh Tran.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Gruner.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed a proposed amendment including "Sidwall Image Transfer loop" limitation. Examiner/Primary said the amendment will be considered once the formal response to the non final office action is filed. Applicant requested clarification of rejection to claims 5 and 6, the examiner agreed to provide clarification in the reply.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Mahmoud Dahimene/  
/Binh Tran/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required